

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/857,325	ELLIOTT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Q. Janice Li, M.D.	1633	

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Mark D. Moore, Appl. Rep.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5/1/06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

212-215, 222-227, 229, 231

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to inform Mr. Moore that claims 222-225 are obvious variants of claims 212-215, and claim 231 has § 112, 2nd paragraph issue. Mr. Moore informed the examiner on 4/21/06 that applicant agreed to amend claims 212, 215, 231, and cancel claims 213-214 by an Examiner's amendment.